



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/960.470	09/20/2001	Richard Francis Russell	2001-0157.02	1706	
21972	7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD			REFAI, RAMSEY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLDG. 082-1	W OIROZZ ROLZ	2154			
LEXINGTON	, KY 40550-0999		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0		
		09/960,470	RUSSELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ramsey M Refai	2154			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2001.					
'=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	`		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		}		
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		./		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•	nainaitu undan 25 II C.C. \$ 440/a	) (d) or (f)			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National \$	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 09/20/2001	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	-152)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination

### Specification and Claims

2. The use of the trademark WINDOWS has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafky (U.S. Patent No. 6,809,830) in view of Dowling (U.S. Patent No. 6,636,499).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,470

Art Unit: 2154

5. As per claim 1, Lafky teaches a method of creating network printer ports on a computer workstation, said computer workstation having an operating system that includes a print subsystem, comprising the steps of:

Page 3

providing a network (51, Figure 1 and column 3, lines 42-43);

communicatively coupling said computer workstation to said network (column 3, lines 33-44);

communicatively coupling at least one printer to said network (column 3, lines 30-36), said computer workstation performing the steps of:

receiving a response packet from said first printer, said response packet including printer-specific network information of said first printer (column 5, lines 18 - 30);

identifying whether a network port exists for said first printer (column 1, line 55column 2, line 5; a change in the list of device objects results in making a function call to the dynamic port monitor); and

if no such network port exists, then creating a first network printer port for said first printer based on said printer-specific network information for said first printer (abstract, lines 9-12 and column 1, line 55 - column 2, line 5; when a new device is detected by noticing a change in the list of device objects; it then created a port name for the printer).

- Lafky fails to teach transmitting a discovery packet to which a first printer of a designated type can respond.
- 7. However, Dowling teaches sending discovery protocol packets to neighboring network devices using destination addresses. (column 4, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/960,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Lafky and Dowling because Dowling's use of discovery protocol packets in Lafky's method would allow for a computer system to discover network devices by sending discovery packets throughout the network and receiving a response from the discovered devices.

- 8. As per claim 2 Lafky initializing a port monitor upon a loading of said print subsystem, said port monitor performing each of said transmitting step, said receiving step and said identifying step (abstract and column 1, line 55- column 2, line 5).
- 9. As per claim 3, Lafky teaches an operating system is a WINDOWS operating system (column 1, lines 29-35).
- 10. As per claim 4, Lafky teaches a WINDOWS operating system includes a print spooler for initializing said port monitor and for performing said creating step (column 1, lines 38-52).
- 11. As per claim 5, Lafky teaches said print spooler receives said printer-specific network information for said first printer from said port monitor (column 1, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 8-12, and column 5, line 62-column 6, line 10).
- 12. As per claim 7, Lafky fails to teach a discovery packet is a propriety broadcast message to which only a printer of said designated type on said network will respond.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,470

Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

13. However, Dowling teaches sending discovery packets to already known neighboring network devices using the device type and SNMP agent addresses (column 4, lines 57-60 and column 10, lines 57 – 67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Lafky and Dowling because Dowling's use of sending discovery protocol packets to neighboring devices in Lafky's method would allow for a computer system to discover neighboring network devices by sending discovery packets throughout the network in order to locate a network printer.

- 14. As per claim 8, this claim contains similar limitations as claim 1 above, therefore is rejected under the same rationale.
- 15. As per claim 10, this claim contains similar limitations to claims 1-5 above, therefore is rejected under the same rationale.
- 16. As per claim 12, Lafky teaches the step of invoking said WINDOWS print spooler (abstract; printer program) to initialize said port monitor (abstract) occurs when said print subsystem is loaded by said workstation (abstract; plug and play manager).
- 17. Claims 6, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafky

  (U.S. Patent No. 6,809,830) in view of Dowling (U.S. Patent No. 6,636,499), and further view of

  AAPA (Applicant Admitted Prior Art).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,470 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

18. As per claim 6, Lafky fails to teach printer-specific network information includes a

TCP/IP address and a MAC address.

19. However, AAPA teaches that the printers include a machine access code (MAC) address

and/or TCP/IP address (paragraph [0008]). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Lafky,

Dowling, and AAPA because AAPA's use of printers that contain machine access code (MAC)

address and/or TCP/IP addresses in Lafky and Dowling's method are required in order to create

a network port for the discovered network printer.

20. As per claims 9 and 11, these claims contain similar limitations as claim 6 above,

therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Brockway et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,789,111); Automatic Detection of Installation
- of Client Peripheral Devices by a Server.

b. Agatone et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,744); Method for Discovering a Network

Printer by Assigning Dedicated Sockets to Different Printer Types and Polling the

Dedicated Sockets to Learn the Corresponding State.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,470 Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

C. White et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,012); Automatic Configuration of Network

Printer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ramsey M Refai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3975.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571)272-3964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey M Refai

Examiner

Art Unit 2154

RMR

November 4, 2004

N. Effordy